## **Worksheet 5. Application Summary**

<ol> <li>Consortium Name:</li> <li>Location:</li> <li>Crop:         <ul> <li>Pounds of Methyl</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bromide Requested         <ul> <li>Acres Treated with Methyl</li> </ul> </li> <li>Bromide</li> </ol>		California Pepper Commission California Pepper											
								vI	2007	300,000	_lbs.		
								A 1					
			•	•	2007	1,875	Acres						
		5. Bromide				1,875 years, reason for	_						
5. Bromide					_								
5. Bromide 6. If methy					request:	Acres							
5. Bromide 6. If methy	l bromide is requ	uested for		years, reason for	request: 1,875	Acres Acres							

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

	Not	Not			
Potential Alternatives	Technically	Economically	Reasons		
	Feasible	Feasible			
1,3-D	Х		Township caps limit use. Not effective against soil borne pathogens, which are the major problems for which MBr is used on 10% of the acreage.		
1,3-D + Chloropicrin	Х		Concentrations of chloropicrin in 1,3-D/chloropicrin premixes are not high enough to control diseases when used at maximum label rates.		
Chloropicrin	Х		Does not distribute throughout soil profile when applied alone, rsulting in inadequate efficay. Does not adequately control <i>Phtyophthora</i> when used according to label directions.		
Metam Sodium	×		Does not control <i>Phytophthora</i> or wilts. Results are erratic and plants are subject to phytoxicity.		
Metam Sodium/Crop Rotation	х	×	See above. Due to high land costs, there are not many crops that can be rotated with pepper that will provide an economic return. A four or five year rotation is necessary to adequately reduce inoculum in soil.		
Solarization/Fungicides	Х		Temperatures do not get high enough on the coast during land preparation period to control pathogens in soil.		

EPA Form # 7620-18a Pre Plant